

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

A				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/752,781	01/03/2001	Hiroshi Sumida	MI 003-US/OH	1396
466	7590 03/14/2003			
YOUNG & THOMPSON			EXAMINER	
	23RD STREET 2ND FLOO N, VA 22202	R	RUTHKOSKY, MARK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	. 9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/752,781	SUMIDA ET AL.			
· ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mark Ruthkosky	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TO THE REPLY FILED 15 FAILS TO PLACE TO PL	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	înally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s): The claims which have bee	<u>n canceled</u> .			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	:			
10. Other:					
Potent and Tradamath Office					

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicarit's has changed to claim language from "compnent" to "consisting essentially of." This change raises new issues which must be addressed in a subsequent office action. In addition, the newly added claims are to a product which must be addressed in a new office action.

MARK RUTH KOSKY
PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 174T
Mh Puthty
3/11/03